



**Bach Harrison, L.L.C.**

Survey Research & Evaluation Services

# **2008 Prevention Needs Assessment Survey Results**

**Report for:**

**The Communités That Care  
Youth Survey**

**Sponsored By:**

**The Alcohol and  
Substance Abuse Council  
167 Polk Street, Suite 320  
Watertown, New York 13601**

116 South 500 East  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

Phone: (801) 359-2064  
Fax: (801) 524-9688

# Introduction

## 2008 Prevention Needs Assessment Survey Profile Report for The Communities That Care Youth Survey

This report summarizes the findings from the Prevention Needs Assessment (PNA) Survey that was conducted during 2008 in grades 6 through 12. The results are presented along with comparisons to National data sources such as the Monitoring the Future Survey (only grades 8, 10, and 12 are surveyed) and the Bach Harrison 8-State database..

The survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use, anti-social behavior, and the risk and protective factors that predict these adolescent problem behaviors.

Table 1 contains the characteristics of the students who completed the survey from your community. When using the information in this report, please pay attention to the number and percentage of students who participated from your community. If 70% or more of the students participated, the report is a good

### Contents:

Introduction

The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Substance Abuse Prevention

Building a Strategic Prevention Framework

Tools for Assessment and Planning

Practical Implications of the PNA

How to Read the Charts

- Substance Use,
- Antisocial Behavior and Gambling,
- Risk & Protective Factor Profiles

Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definitions

Data Tables

Drug Free Communities Report

Contacts for Prevention

indicator of the levels of substance use, risk, protection, and antisocial behavior. If fewer than 70% participated, a review of who participated should be completed prior to generalizing the results to the entire community.

### Risk and Protective Factors

Many states and local agencies have adopted the Risk and Protective Factor Model to guide their prevention efforts. The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention is based on the simple premise that to prevent a problem from happening, we need to identify the factors that increase the risk of that problem developing and then find ways to reduce the risks. Just as medical researchers have found risk factors for heart disease such as diets high in fat, lack of exercise, and smoking; a team of researchers at the University of Washington have defined a set of risk factors for youth problem behaviors.

Risk factors are characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, school dropout, teen pregnancy, and violent behavior among youth. Dr. J. David Hawkins, Dr. Richard F. Catalano, and their colleagues at the University of Washington, Social Development Research Group have investigated the relationship between risk and protective factors and youth problem behavior. For example, they have found that children who live in families with high levels of conflict are more likely to become involved in problem behaviors such as delinquency and drug use than children who live in families with low levels of family conflict.

**Table 1. Characteristics of Participants**

Student Totals				
Total Students	Communities That Care Youth Survey			
	2006		2008	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	2391	100	3991	100
Grade *				
6	n/a	n/a	1011	25.3
8	861	36.0	1078	27.0
10	859	35.9	1021	25.6
11	65	2.7	76	1.9
12	606	25.3	805	20.2
Gender				
Male	1139	48.5	1916	48.6
Female	1208	51.5	2026	51.4
Ethnicity				
Native American	69	2.9	202	5.2
Asian	39	1.7	54	1.4
African American	125	5.3	204	5.2
Pacific Islander	18	0.8	27	0.7
Hispanic	102	4.4	162	4.1
White	1803	77.0	2991	76.5
Multi-racial or Other	186	7.9	268	6.9

\* 6th graders were not surveyed in 2006.

# Risk and Protective Factors

## The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Substance Abuse Prevention (Continued)

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors identified through research reviewed by Drs. Hawkins and Catalano include social bonding to family, school, community and peers; healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior; and individual characteristics. For bonding to serve as a protective influence, it must occur through involvement with peers and adults who communicate healthy values and set clear standards for behavior. Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts.

The premise of this approach is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem.

By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, prevention programs can be implemented that will reduce the elevated risk factors and increase the protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring, tutoring, and increased opportunities and rewards for classroom participation can be provided to improve academic performance.

The chart to the right shows the links between the 19 risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

Risk Factors	Problem Behaviors				
	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Drop-Out	Violence
<b>Community</b>					
1. Availability of Drugs	✓				✓
2. Availability of Firearms		✓			✓
3. Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use, Firearms and Crime	✓	✓			✓
4. Transitions and Mobility *	✓	✓		✓	
5. Low Neighborhood Attachment	✓	✓			✓
6. Community Disorganization	✓	✓			✓
7. Extreme Economic Deprivation *	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Family</b>					
8. Family History of the Problem Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9. Family Management Problems	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10. Family Conflict	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11. Parental Attitudes Favorable Towards Drugs / Other Problem Behavior	✓	✓			✓
<b>School</b>					
12. Academic Failure	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13. Lack of Commitment to School	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Peer / Individual</b>					
14. Early Initiation of Drug Use / Problem Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15. Rebelliousness	✓	✓		✓	
16. Friends Who Use Drugs / Engage in Other Problem Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17. Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use / Other Problem Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18. Perceived Risks of Drug Use	✓	✓		✓	
19. Peer Rewards for Drug Use	✓	✓		✓	
20. Depressive Symptoms	✓	✓		✓	

# Building a Strategic Prevention Framework

The Prevention Needs Assessment Survey is an important part of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) Strategic Prevention Framework Process. CSAP created this 5-step model to guide states and communities through the process of creating a planned, data-driven, effective, and sustainable prevention program. The information presented in this section is taken from CSAP's Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grants Request for Application.

## **Step 1: Profile Population Needs, Resources, and Readiness to Address the Problems and Gaps in Service Delivery**

- **Community Needs Assessment:** The results of this survey (presented in this Profile Report and in results reported at the State level) will help you to identify needs for prevention. States should consider administering a survey such as the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey biannually to assess adolescent substance use, anti-social behavior, and many of the risk and protective factors that predict adolescent problem behaviors. While planning prevention services, communities are urged to collect and use multiple data sources, including archival and social indicators, assessment of existing resources, key informant interviews, as well as data from this survey.
- **Community Resource Assessment:** It is likely that existing agencies and programs are already addressing some of the prioritized risk and protective factors. It is important to identify the assets and resources already available in the community and the gaps in services and capacity.
- **Community Readiness Assessment:** It is very important for states and communities to have the commitment and support of their members and ample resources to implement effective prevention efforts. Therefore, the readiness and capacity of communities and resources to act should also be assessed.

**Step 2: Mobilize and/or Build Capacity to Address Needs:** Engagement of key stakeholders at the State and community levels is critical to plan and implement successful prevention activities that will be sustained over time. Some of the key tasks to mobilize the state and communities are to work with leaders and stakeholders to build coalitions, provide training, leverage resources, and help sustain prevention activities.

**Step 3: Develop a Comprehensive Strategic Plan:** States and communities should develop a strategic plan that articulates not only a vision for the prevention activities, but also strategies for organizing and implementing prevention efforts. The strategic plan should be based on documented needs, build on identified resources/strengths, set measurable objectives, and identify how progress will be monitored. Plans should be adjusted with ongoing needs assessment and monitoring activities. The issue of sustainability should be kept in mind throughout each step of planning and implementation.

**Step 4: Implement Evidence-based Prevention Programs and Infrastructure Development Activities:** By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, prevention programs can be implemented that will reduce the elevated risk factors and increase the protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as a prioritized risk factor in a community, then mentoring, tutoring, and increased opportunities and rewards for classroom participation can be provided to improve academic performance. After completing Steps 1, 2, and 3, communities will be able to choose prevention programs that fit the Strategic Framework of the community, match the population served, and are scientifically proven to work. The Western Center for the Application of Prevention Technology website ([www.westcapt.org](http://www.westcapt.org)) contains a search engine for identifying Best Practice Programs.

**Step 5: Monitor Process, Evaluate Effectiveness, Sustain Effective Programs/Activities, and Improve or Replace Those That Fail:** Finally, ongoing monitoring and evaluation are essential to determine if the outcomes desired are achieved and to assess program effectiveness, assess service delivery quality, identify successes, encourage needed improvement, and promote sustainability of effective policies, programs, and practices.

# Tools for Assessment and Planning

## School and Community Improvement Using Survey Data

### Why Conduct the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey?

Data from the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey can be used to help school and community planners assess current conditions and prioritize areas of greatest need.

Each risk and protective factor can be linked to specific types of interventions that have been shown to be effective in either reducing risk(s) or enhancing protection(s). The steps outlined here will help your school and community make key decisions regarding allocation of resources, how and when to address specific needs, and which strategies are most effective and known to produce results.

### What are the numbers telling you?

Review the charts and data tables presented in this report. Using the table below, note your findings as you discuss the following questions.

- Which 3-5 risk factors appear to be higher than you would want?
- Which 3-5 protective factors appear to be lower than you would want?
- Which levels of 30-day drug use are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
  - Which substances are your students using the most?
  - At which grades do you see unacceptable usage levels?
- Which levels of antisocial behaviors are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
  - Which behaviors are your students exhibiting the most?
  - At which grades do you see unacceptable behavior levels?

### How to decide if a rate is “unacceptable.”

- **Look across the charts** – which items stand out as either much higher or much lower than the other?
- **Compare your data with statewide, and/or national data** – differences of 5% between local and other data are probably significant.
- **Determine the standards and values held within your community** – For example: Is it acceptable in your community for 40% of high school seniors to drink alcohol regularly even when the national percentage is 50%?

### Use these data for planning.

- **Substance use and antisocial behavior data** – raise awareness about the problems and promote dialogue
- **Risk and protective factor data** – identify exactly where the community needs to take action
- **Promising approaches** – access resources listed on the last page of this report for ideas about programs that have proven effective in addressing the risk factors that are high in your community, and improving the protective factors that are low

#### MEASURE

Risk Factors  
Protective Factors  
Substance Use  
Antisocial Behaviors

Unacceptable Rate #1	Unacceptable Rate #2	Unacceptable Rate #3	Unacceptable Rate #4

# Practical Implications of the PNA

## No Child Left Behind

The Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities section of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) requires that schools and communities use six Principles of Effectiveness to guide their decisions and spending on federally funded prevention and intervention programs. First introduced in 1998 by the Department of Education, the Principles of Effectiveness outline a data-driven process for ensuring that prevention programs achieve the desired results. The Principles of Effectiveness stipulate that local prevention programs and activities must:

1. be based on a needs assessment using objective data regarding the incidence of drug use and violence,
2. target specific performance objectives,
3. be based on scientific research and be proven to reduce violence or drug use,
4. be based on the analysis of predictor variables such as risk and protective factors,
5. include meaningful and on-going parental input in program implementation, and
6. have periodic evaluations of established performance measures.

The results of the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey presented in this report can help your school and community comply with the NCLB Act. The Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior charts provide information related to Principle 1 above. The Risk and Protective Factor charts provide information related to Principle 4. Overall, using the Risk and Protective factors planning framework helps schools meet all of the Principles of Effectiveness, and thereby assists schools in complying with the NCLB Act.

## How to Read the Charts: Substance Use, Antisocial Behavior, Risk, and Protection

There are four types of charts presented in this report: 1) substance use charts, 2) antisocial behavior and gambling charts, 3) risk factor charts, and 4) protective factor charts. All the charts show the results of the PNA Survey, and the actual percentages from the charts are presented in Tables 3 through 10. Table 11 contains youth perceptions of substance use, and Table 12 contains the information necessary to complete the Drug Free Communities Report.

### Substance Use, Antisocial Behavior , and Gambling Charts

This report contains information about alcohol, tobacco and other drug use (referred to as ATOD use throughout this report) and other problem behaviors of students. The bars on each chart represent the percentage of students in that grade who reported the behavior. The four sections in the charts represent different types of problem behaviors. The definitions of each of the types of behavior are provided below.

- **Ever-used** is a measure of the percentage of students who tried the particular substance at least once in their lifetime and is used to show the percentage of students who have had experience with a particular substance.
- **Heavy use** includes **binge drinking** (having five or more drinks in a row during the two weeks prior to the survey) and use of **one-half a pack or more of cigarettes per day**.
- **30-day use** is a measure of the percentage of students who used the substance at least once in the 30 days prior to taking the survey and is a more sensitive indicator of the level of current use of the substance. For both ever-used and 30-day use, national rates from the Monitoring the Future survey for grades 8, 10, and 12 have been included to allow a comparison of your data to a national sample of students.
- **Antisocial behavior (ASB)** is a measure of the percentage of students who report **any involvement** with the eight antisocial behaviors listed in the charts **during the past year**. In the charts, antisocial behavior will often be abbreviated as ASB.
- **Gambling behavior** is a measure of the percentage of students who engaged in 10 types of gambling as well as an overall measure of gambling in the past year.

## How to Read the Charts: Continued

### Risk and Protective Factor Charts

The risk and protective factor charts show the percentage of students at risk and with protection for each of the risk and protective factor scales. Along with the risk and protective factor scales, there are bars that show the percentage of High Risk Youth and percentage of High Protection Youth. High Risk Youth is defined as the percentage of students who have more than a specified number of risk factors operating in their lives. For 6th grade students, it is the percentage of students who have 7 or more risk factors, for 7<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> grade it is 8 or more risk factors, and for 10<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> grade, it is 9 or more risk factors. High Protection Youth is defined as the percentage of students in all grades who have 5 or more protective factors operating in their lives.

There are two components of the risk and protective factor charts that are key to understanding the information that the charts contain: 1) the cut-points for the risk and protective factor scales and 2) the blue diamond that indicate a more “national” value.

### Cut-Points

Before the percentage of youth at risk on a given scale could be calculated, a scale value or cut-point needed to be determined that would separate the at-risk group from the not at-risk group. The Prevention Needs Assessment (PNA) survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use, anti-social behavior, and the risk and protective factors that predict these adolescent problem behaviors. Since the PNA survey has recently been given to over 300,000 youth nationwide, it was possible to select two groups of youth, one that was more at risk for problem behaviors and another group that was less at risk. A cut-point score was then determined for each risk and protective factor scale that best divided the youth from the two groups into their appropriate group, more at-risk or less at-risk. The criteria for separating youth into the more at-risk and the less at-risk groups included academic grades (the more at-risk group received “D” and “F” grades, the less at-risk group received

“A” and “B” grades), ATOD use (the more at-risk group had more regular use, the less at-risk group had no drug use and use of alcohol or tobacco on only a few occasions), and antisocial behavior (the more at-risk group had two or more serious delinquent acts in the past year, the less at-risk group had no serious delinquent acts).

The cut-points that were determined by analyzing the results of the more at-risk and less at-risk groups will remain constant and will be used to produce the profiles for future surveys.

Since the cut-points for each scale will remain fixed, the percentage of youth above the cut-point on a scale (at-risk) will provide a method for evaluating the progress of prevention programs over time. For example, if the percentage of youth at risk for family conflict in a community prior to implementing a community-wide family/parenting program was 60% and then decreased to 50% one year after the program was implemented, the program would be viewed as helping to reduce family conflict.

### Monitoring the Future / 8-State



Levels of risk and protection in your community also can be compared to a more national sample. The yellow diamond on each of the charts represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the eight states across the country upon which the cut-points were developed. On the ATOD charts, it is the national norm from the Monitoring the Future data.

Brief definitions of the risk and protective factors are provided following the profile charts. For more information about risk and protective factors, please refer to the resources listed on the last page of this report under Contacts for Prevention.



### Youth Perception of Substance Use

Youth often overestimate the percentage of their peers who are using substances. Youth perceptions of the percentage of their peers who use cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other illegal drugs are shown in Tables 11 and higher.



# Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior





# Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior





# Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior





# Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior





# Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior





# Risk and Protective Factor Profiles





# Risk and Protective Factor Profiles





# Risk and Protective Factor Profiles



# Risk and Protective Factor Profiles



# Risk and Protective Factor Profiles



**Table 2. Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definitions**

<b>Community Domain Risk Factors</b>	
<b>Community Disorganization</b>	Research has shown that neighborhoods with high population density, lack of natural surveillance of public places, physical deterioration, and high rates of adult crime also have higher rates of juvenile crime and drug selling.
<b>Low Neighborhood Attachment</b>	A low level of bonding to the neighborhood is related to higher levels of juvenile crime and drug selling.
<b>Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use</b>	Research has shown that legal restrictions on alcohol and tobacco use, such as raising the legal drinking age, restricting smoking in public places, and increased taxation have been followed by decreases in consumption. Moreover, national surveys of high school seniors have shown that shifts in normative attitudes toward drug use have preceded changes in prevalence of use.
<b>Perceived Availability of Drugs</b>	The availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other illegal drugs has been related to the use of these substances by adolescents.
<b>Community Domain Protective Factors</b>	
<b>Opportunities for Positive Involvement</b>	When opportunities are available in a community for positive participation, children are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors.
<b>Rewards for Positive Involvement</b>	Rewards for positive participation in activities helps children bond to the community, thus lowering their risk for substance use.
<b>Family Domain Risk Factors</b>	
<b>Exposure to Adult Antisocial Behavior</b>	When children are raised in a family or are around adults with a history of problem behaviors (e.g., violence or ATOD use), the children are more likely to engage in these behaviors.
<b>Sibling Drug Use</b>	Youth who are raised in a family where their siblings use drugs are more likely to use drugs themselves.
<b>Family Conflict</b>	Children raised in families high in conflict, whether or not the child is directly involved in the conflict, appear at risk for both delinquency and drug use.
<b>Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior &amp; Drugs</b>	In families where parents use illegal drugs, are heavy users of alcohol, or are tolerant of children's use, children are more likely to become drug abusers during adolescence. The risk is further increased if parents involve children in their own drug (or alcohol) using behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or get the parent a beer from the refrigerator.
<b>Poor Family Management</b>	Parents' use of inconsistent and/or unusually harsh or severe punishment with their children places them at higher risk for substance use and other problem behaviors. Also, parents' failure to provide clear expectations and to monitor their children's behavior makes it more likely that they will engage in drug abuse whether or not there are family drug problems
<b>Family Domain Protective Factors</b>	
<b>Family Attachment</b>	Young people who feel that they are a valued part of their family are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors.
<b>Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement</b>	Young people who are exposed to more opportunities to participate meaningfully in the responsibilities and activities of the family are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors.
<b>Rewards for Prosocial Involvement</b>	When parents, siblings, and other family members praise, encourage, and attend to things done well by their child, children are less likely to engage in substance use and problem behaviors.
<b>School Domain Risk Factors</b>	
<b>Academic Failure</b>	Beginning in the late elementary grades (grades 4-6) academic failure increases the risk of both drug abuse and delinquency. It appears that the experience of failure itself, for whatever reasons, increases the risk of problem behaviors.
<b>Low Commitment to School</b>	Surveys of high school seniors have shown that the use of hallucinogens, cocaine, heroin, stimulants, and sedatives or non-medically prescribed tranquilizers is significantly lower among students who expect to attend college than among those who do not. Factors such as liking school, spending time on homework, and perceiving the coursework as relevant are also negatively related to drug use.

**Table 2. Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definitions (Continued)**

<b><i>School Domain Protective Factors</i></b>	
<b><i>Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement</i></b>	When young people are given more opportunities to participate meaningfully in important activities at school, they are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors.
<b><i>Rewards for Prosocial Involvement</i></b>	When young people are recognized and rewarded for their contributions at school, they are less likely to be involved in substance use and other problem behaviors
<b><i>Peer-Individual Risk Factors</i></b>	
<b><i>Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior and Drug Use</i></b>	Early onset of drug use predicts misuse of drugs. The earlier the onset of any drug use, the greater the involvement in other drug use and the greater frequency of use. Onset of drug use prior to the age of 15 is a consistent predictor of drug abuse, and a later age of onset of drug use has been shown to predict lower drug involvement and a greater probability of discontinuation of use.
<b><i>Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior and Drug Use</i></b>	During the elementary school years, most children express anti-drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people use drugs or engage in antisocial behaviors. However, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others who use drugs and engage in antisocial behavior, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express positive attitudes toward drug use and antisocial behavior are more likely to engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including drug use.
<b><i>Friends' Use of Drugs</i></b>	Young people who associate with peers who engage in alcohol or substance abuse are much more likely to engage in the same behavior. Peer drug use has consistently been found to be among the strongest predictors of substance use among youth. Even when young people come from well-managed families and do not experience other risk factors, spending time with friends who use drugs greatly increases the risk of that problem developing.
<b><i>Interaction with Antisocial Peers</i></b>	Young people who associate with peers who engage in problem behaviors are at higher risk for engaging in antisocial behavior themselves.
<b><i>Perceived Risk of Drug Use</i></b>	Young people who do not perceive drug use to be risky are far more likely to engage in drug use.
<b><i>Rewards for Antisocial Behavior</i></b>	Young people who receive rewards for their antisocial behavior are at higher risk for engaging further in antisocial behavior and substance use.
<b><i>Rebelliousness</i></b>	Young people who do not feel part of society, are not bound by rules, don't believe in trying to be successful or responsible, or who take an active rebellious stance toward society, are at higher risk of abusing drugs. In addition, high tolerance for deviance, a strong need for independence and normlessness have all been linked with drug use.
<b><i>Depressive Symptoms</i></b>	Young people who are depressed are overrepresented in the criminal justice system and are more likely to use drugs. Survey research and other studies have shown a link between depression and other youth problem behaviors.
<b><i>Gang Involvement</i></b>	Youth who belong to gangs are more at risk for antisocial behavior and drug use.
<b><i>Peer-Individual Protective Factors</i></b>	
<b><i>Religiosity</i></b>	Young people who regularly attend religious services are less likely to engage in problem behaviors.
<b><i>Social Skills</i></b>	Young people who are socially competent and engage in positive interpersonal relations with their peers are less likely to use drugs and engage in other problem behaviors.
<b><i>Belief in the Moral Order</i></b>	Young people who have a belief in what is "right" or "wrong" are less likely to use drugs.
<b><i>Prosocial Involvement</i></b>	Participation in positive school and community activities helps provide protection for youth.
<b><i>Rewards for Prosocial Involvement</i></b>	Young people who view working hard in school and the community as rewarding are less likely to engage in problem behavior.

**Table 3. Number of Students Who Completed the Survey**

Number of Youth	Grade 6		Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11		Grade 12		
	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007
	n/a	1011	861	1078	†	859	1021	†	65	76	606	805	†

**Table 4. Percentage of Students Who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime**

In your lifetime, on how many occasions (if any) have you (One or more occasions)	Grade 6		Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11		Grade 12		
	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007
Alcohol had alcoholic beverages (beer, wine or hard liquor) to drink - more than just a few sips?	n/a	21.8	46.0	47.1	38.9	72.6	71.6	61.7	71.2	85.5	84.7	83.3	72.7
Cigarettes smoked cigarettes?	n/a	6.9	24.4	22.1	22.1	40.1	38.7	34.6	63.2	55.3	50.9	46.9	46.2
Chewing Tobacco used smokeless tobacco (chew, snuff, plug, dipping tobacco, chewing tobacco)?	n/a	3.5	6.8	7.8	9.1	17.6	16.6	15.1	28.1	32.9	22.4	20.9	15.1
Marijuana used marijuana (grass, pot) or hashish (hash, hash oil)?	n/a	1.0	10.7	9.4	14.2	28.8	30.9	31.0	46.6	44.7	44.7	41.4	41.8
Inhalants sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high?	n/a	9.3	14.4	12.8	15.6	15.8	15.0	13.6	14.0	18.4	10.8	11.3	10.5
Hallucinogens used LSD or other hallucinogens?	n/a	0.0	0.6	0.9	1.6	3.7	3.6	3.0	8.8	10.5	7.3	7.1	3.4
Cocaine used cocaine or crack?	n/a	0.2	0.7	1.0	3.1	3.4	3.9	5.3	5.3	9.2	5.4	6.6	7.8
Methamphetamines used methamphetamines (meth, speed, crank, crystal meth)?	n/a	0.9	0.8	0.6	1.8	1.2	1.1	2.8	0.0	3.9	3.4	1.7	3.0
Amphetamines used stimulants, other than methamphetamines (such as amphetamines, Ritalin, Dexedrine) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	1.3	3.7	3.2	7.3	7.7	7.2	11.2	10.5	10.5	9.0	7.1	12.4
Sedatives used sedatives (barbiturates, sleeping pills, or downers such as phenobarbital or Tuinal) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	1.3	4.5	4.8	9.2	9.4	9.1	14.8	12.3	11.8	8.8	8.8	15.2
Tranquilizers used tranquilizers (such as Librium, Valium, or Xanax) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.7	1.9	0.9	4.3	4.0	4.9	7.2	5.3	10.5	5.1	5.6	10.3
Heroin used heroin or other opiates?	n/a	0.1	0.6	0.8	1.3	0.8	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.5
Other Narcotics used narcotic prescription drugs (such as OxyContin, methadone, morphine, codine, Demerol, Vicodin, Percocet) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.4	2.1	2.1	4.5	8.0	8.2	10.7	12.3	18.4	9.3	12.7	13.4
Ecstasy used MDMA ('X', 'E', or ecstasy)?	n/a	0.1	1.3	0.5	2.3	2.8	1.9	5.2	3.5	7.9	4.4	4.4	6.5
Steroids used steroids or anabolic steroids (such as Anadrol, Oxandrin, Durabolin, Equipoise or Depotesterone)?	n/a	1.3	0.9	1.4	1.6	2.7	1.3	1.8	3.5	1.3	0.9	2.4	2.7

† See the Monitoring The Future website ( [www.monitoringthefuture.org](http://www.monitoringthefuture.org) )

**Table 5. Percentage of Students Who Used ATODs During The Past 30 Days**

In the past 30 days, on how many occasions (if any) have you (One or more occasions)		Grade 6		Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11		Grade 12		
		2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007
Alcohol	had alcoholic beverages (beer, wine or hard liquor) to drink - more than just a few sips?	n/a	5.7	16.6	18.4	15.9	40.6	39.1	33.4	50.0	56.6	53.4	50.3	44.4
Cigarettes	smoked cigarettes?	n/a	0.8	6.4	7.0	7.1	14.1	17.1	14.0	33.3	25.0	20.8	21.6	21.6
Chewing Tobacco	used smokeless tobacco (chew, snuff, plug, dipping tobacco, chewing tobacco)?	n/a	0.6	2.1	3.4	3.2	7.0	7.9	6.1	12.3	19.7	9.9	7.4	6.6
Marijuana	used marijuana (grass, pot) or hashish (hash, hash oil)?	n/a	0.3	4.9	4.1	5.7	14.6	15.1	14.2	20.0	17.6	23.0	22.2	18.8
Inhalants	sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high?	n/a	3.8	6.5	6.0	3.9	3.8	4.7	2.5	0.0	6.6	1.7	2.3	1.2
Hallucinogens	used LSD or other hallucinogens?	n/a	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.1	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.3	2.2	1.9	0.6
Cocaine	used cocaine or crack?	n/a	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.9	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.0
Methamphetamines	used methamphetamines (meth, speed, crank, crystal meth)?	n/a	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.6	0.6
Amphetamines	used stimulants, other than methamphetamines (such as amphetamines, Ritalin, Dexedrine) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.5	1.0	1.1	2.1	2.5	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.3	1.9	2.9	3.7
Sedatives	used sedatives (tranquilizers, such as Valium or Xanax, barbiturates, or sleeping pills) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.3	1.0	1.2	3.0	4.2	4.5	4.6	7.0	1.3	3.4	3.7	4.6
Tranquilizers	used tranquilizers (such as Librium, Valium, or Xanax) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.3	0.5	0.4	1.3	1.0	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.9	2.7
Heroin	used heroin or other opiates?	n/a	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
Other Narcotics	used narcotic prescription drugs (such as OxyContin, methadone, morphine, codine, Demerol, Vicodin, Percocet) without a doctor telling you to take them?	n/a	0.0	0.4	0.6	1.1	2.2	3.9	3.9	5.3	7.9	3.1	5.4	3.8
Ecstasy	used MDMA ('X', 'E', or ecstasy)?	n/a	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.5	1.6
Steroids	used steroids or anabolic steroids (such as Anadrol, Oxandrin, Durabolin, Equipoise or Depotesterone)?	n/a	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	1.1

**Table 6. Percentage of Students With Heavy ATOD Use**

		Grade 6		Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11		Grade 12		
		2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	MTF 2007	2006	2008	2006	2008	MTF 2007
Binge Drinking	How many times have you had 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past 2 weeks?	n/a	3.2	8.7	10.3	10.3	27.1	24.1	21.9	35.1	36.8	34.1	33.0	25.9
1/2 Pack of Cigarettes/Day	During the past 30 days, have you smoked 1/2 Pack of cigarettes a day or more?	n/a	0.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	3.2	4.1	2.7	17.5	10.5	7.5	7.1	5.7

**Table 7. Percentage of Students With Antisocial Behavior in the Past Year**

How many times in the past year (12 months) have you: (One or more times)	Grade 6			Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11			Grade 12		
	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm
Been Suspended from School	n/a	6.2	13.0	10.1	11.2	17.5	10.8	13.0	12.8	20.3	22.4	11.0	8.2	8.2	9.3
Been Drunk or High at School	n/a	0.9	2.8	6.7	5.3	10.3	14.1	18.7	17.7	26.6	27.6	18.4	18.5	17.5	19.2
Sold Illegal Drugs	n/a	0.4	0.6	2.6	1.6	3.6	7.0	6.4	7.4	15.6	17.3	7.9	9.4	8.7	8.4
Stolen or Tried to Steal a Motor Vehicle	n/a	1.2	1.9	1.2	1.2	3.7	2.8	2.3	3.8	7.9	2.6	3.0	1.7	1.6	2.1
Been Arrested	n/a	1.0	2.9	4.8	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.7	8.0	11.1	17.1	7.6	5.2	6.2	7.2
Attacked Someone with the Idea of Seriously Hurting Them	n/a	11.4	13.0	13.2	13.0	16.7	14.4	16.4	15.5	21.9	19.7	14.1	13.9	10.7	12.7
Carried a Handgun	n/a	4.8	4.5	3.0	3.5	5.9	4.9	5.5	5.3	12.5	7.9	5.2	2.8	3.8	5.1
Carried a Handgun to School	n/a	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.1	3.1	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.4	1.0

\* Table 8. Percentage of Students Gambling in the Past Year

How many times in the past year (12 months) have you: ( <i>'A few times' or more</i> )	Grade 6			Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11			Grade 12		
	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm
Gambled in the Past Year	n/a	44.6	n/a	52.9	46.5	n/a	62.7	47.3	n/a	50.0	44.1	n/a	57.1	50.9	n/a
Bet on Cards	n/a	14.7	n/a	26.7	19.2	n/a	34.6	24.2	n/a	30.6	20.6	n/a	31.7	25.9	n/a
Gambled on the Internet	n/a	3.9	n/a	6.5	5.1	n/a	9.4	6.4	n/a	10.2	0.0	n/a	8.3	5.0	n/a
Bet on Sports	n/a	23.2	n/a	25.2	23.9	n/a	28.5	25.7	n/a	28.0	23.5	n/a	22.3	22.6	n/a
Played the Lottery	n/a	17.0	n/a	26.0	23.1	n/a	31.0	25.3	n/a	26.0	19.1	n/a	37.1	30.4	n/a
Bet on Games of Skill	n/a	19.4	n/a	20.5	16.3	n/a	24.6	17.4	n/a	26.0	22.1	n/a	19.7	15.7	n/a
Bet on Video Poker	n/a	4.0	n/a	5.7	3.5	n/a	5.8	5.2	n/a	8.0	3.0	n/a	7.5	3.4	n/a
Bet on Dice	n/a	8.9	n/a	12.2	7.2	n/a	21.0	9.9	n/a	24.0	7.4	n/a	15.0	11.9	n/a
Played Bingo for money	n/a	13.2	n/a	11.6	9.3	n/a	11.2	9.6	n/a	8.2	7.5	n/a	10.3	7.6	n/a
Bet on Horses	n/a	5.0	n/a	3.9	3.1	n/a	4.0	4.5	n/a	6.0	4.4	n/a	5.1	2.4	n/a
Gambled at a Casino	n/a	1.8	n/a	2.2	1.8	n/a	2.5	2.7	n/a	8.0	2.9	n/a	5.3	3.5	n/a

Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection

Protective Factors	Grade 6			Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11			Grade 12		
	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm
<b>Community Domain</b>															
Opportunity for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	50.6	50.0	50.8	54.4	47.3	54.5	54.6	51.8	46.2	40.6	53.6	59.9	58.6	55.3
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	45.0	52.7	49.2	45.7	52.6	41.1	39.3	47.4	41.5	38.9	47.4	41.7	40.2	47.5
<b>Family Domain</b>															
Family Attachment	n/a	53.2	56.6	50.6	48.5	52.5	54.4	48.6	56.9	60.7	51.3	57.8	55.5	57.0	58.7
Opportunity for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	53.7	61.7	59.2	57.4	62.5	50.8	46.6	56.9	53.6	44.4	57.3	50.9	52.2	57.7
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	52.8	55.8	46.8	44.5	49.9	52.8	45.8	56.8	48.1	46.4	56.8	46.8	50.2	56.9
<b>School Domain</b>															
Opportunity for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	74.0	61.9	71.6	73.3	57.8	66.5	66.7	57.3	64.6	63.2	56.9	64.3	67.9	56.6
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	61.1	50.6	61.8	65.5	53.9	74.7	70.4	64.6	75.0	83.8	64.9	74.1	78.0	65.2
<b>Peer-Individual Domain</b>															
Religiosity	n/a	28.6	52.8	38.8	34.6	60.7	35.6	30.9	58.8	25.4	28.0	56.8	27.0	24.5	54.8
Social Skills	n/a	55.7	54.7	54.0	54.3	51.8	49.2	48.7	56.4	42.2	43.4	55.8	48.4	53.2	55.2
Belief in the Moral Order	n/a	67.2	58.8	66.2	67.9	59.7	47.3	45.4	50.7	40.7	39.5	51.9	49.5	52.2	53.2
Prosocial Involvement	n/a	63.2	58.0	60.4	62.4	54.3	60.4	55.7	54.2	35.9	42.1	54.9	53.3	60.3	55.6
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	n/a	52.7	52.3	52.6	56.4	50.1	58.7	57.7	58.4	44.6	47.9	59.1	45.3	50.3	59.8
<b>High Protection</b>															
High Protection Youth	n/a	55.7	49.8	59.1	59.9	51.9	58.4	52.4	55.9	47.7	50.0	56.3	54.6	58.8	56.7

\* Because not all of the 8 State Surveys had Gambling Behavior questions, no combined value is available

**Table 10. Percentage of Students Reporting Risk**

Risk Factors	Grade 6			Grade 8			Grade 10			Grade 11			Grade 12		
	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm	2006	2008	8 State Norm
<b>Community Domain</b>															
Low Neighborhood Attachment	n/a	41.4	43.5	35.6	35.1	36.6	49.2	49.6	41.5	49.1	47.2	43.3	51.7	52.8	45.1
Community Disorganization	n/a	48.8	39.7	53.8	54.7	46.2	63.7	62.9	46.0	51.0	61.8	44.7	63.8	61.0	43.5
Laws & Norms Favor Drug Use	n/a	34.9	41.5	45.6	44.0	42.5	47.8	52.0	40.2	64.0	62.9	43.6	61.7	58.4	46.9
Perceived Availability of Drugs	n/a	46.4	43.3	35.5	35.5	41.0	44.7	45.9	46.9	44.2	42.3	48.2	51.1	44.3	49.6
<b>Family Domain</b>															
Poor Family Management	n/a	48.3	46.6	42.5	43.4	41.3	45.8	48.6	39.6	53.6	67.1	40.9	51.8	49.2	42.3
Family Conflict	n/a	44.3	42.1	36.9	38.1	37.7	43.0	45.2	40.8	32.7	49.3	39.2	41.9	44.6	37.5
Sibling Drug Use	n/a	27.9	32.9	47.2	48.3	50.5	41.6	42.9	44.9	47.2	54.8	47.9	51.0	48.0	50.9
Exposure to Adult ASB	n/a	53.4	49.4	41.8	41.9	44.7	54.3	57.3	48.7	47.1	67.2	47.2	53.9	53.4	45.6
Parent Attitudes Favor ASB	n/a	32.9	35.4	51.6	51.3	45.4	61.2	60.0	47.0	63.6	69.7	45.7	59.1	59.1	44.4
Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use	n/a	11.9	15.5	28.3	29.7	28.3	50.9	50.5	40.8	54.5	53.9	41.1	51.1	46.2	41.3
<b>School Domain</b>															
Academic Failure	n/a	36.4	40.8	46.4	44.2	45.5	47.4	47.8	45.0	72.3	66.7	43.1	44.9	43.2	41.2
Low Commitment to School	n/a	42.5	45.8	48.6	47.6	45.5	45.1	48.5	42.9	47.7	44.7	44.2	50.9	42.2	45.4
<b>Peer-Individual Domain</b>															
Rebelliousness	n/a	36.0	39.7	42.4	40.3	39.8	49.5	53.7	43.5	52.5	63.2	41.9	47.3	45.8	40.4
Early Initiation of ASB	n/a	21.4	28.5	29.2	28.7	37.6	34.7	41.3	38.2	52.3	50.0	37.2	33.7	36.3	36.3
Early Initiation of Drug Use	n/a	28.5	34.0	38.4	36.0	44.5	43.9	44.2	41.6	55.4	52.6	44.0	53.0	46.5	46.4
Attitudes Favorable to ASB	n/a	39.4	42.5	38.4	38.7	38.6	52.8	52.6	44.1	53.8	53.3	42.6	46.5	45.0	41.1
Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use	n/a	16.9	23.5	33.5	34.2	39.1	49.4	51.0	45.0	56.9	54.4	44.1	49.5	47.6	43.2
Perceived Risk of Drug Use	n/a	40.6	43.7	31.1	36.0	39.1	48.1	52.9	46.0	53.4	50.0	41.4	44.6	43.5	36.9
Interaction with Antisocial Peers	n/a	33.8	44.1	33.6	28.4	39.2	37.9	43.8	38.3	50.8	55.3	36.6	33.3	34.2	34.9
Friend's Use of Drugs	n/a	20.1	26.9	43.4	42.6	47.1	51.4	50.9	45.2	60.0	52.6	42.7	46.0	43.2	40.3
Rewards for ASB	n/a	20.4	28.0	34.8	35.2	40.9	54.7	49.1	44.9	41.5	46.6	45.4	60.5	58.9	45.8
Depressive Symptoms	n/a	35.4	44.3	40.0	39.4	48.2	40.5	44.0	47.5	43.1	47.4	44.4	40.1	36.8	41.3
Gang Involvement	n/a	7.9	9.4	9.6	10.0	10.0	9.7	10.7	7.0	18.8	13.3	5.9	4.8	6.7	4.8
<b>High Risk</b>															
High Risk Youth	n/a	37.3	41.6	45.4	44.6	45.3	52.4	56.7	44.6	49.2	64.5	44.1	55.9	51.9	43.7

Table 11. Drug Free Communities Report \*

Outcomes	Definition	Substance	Communities That Care Youth Survey 2006													
			Grade 8		Grade 10		Grade 11		Grade 12		Total †		Male		Female	
			Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
<b>Perception of Risk</b> <i>(People are at Moderate or Great Risk of harming themselves if they...)</i>	drink 1 or two drinks nearly every day	Alcohol	73.8	837	69.2	821	62.1	58	67.1	583	70.2	2299	64.7	1092	75.5	1166
	smoke 1 or more packs or cigarettes per day	Cigarettes	89.2	841	93.1	830	86.4	59	91.2	591	91.0	2321	91.4	1102	90.8	1178
	smoke marijuana regularly	Marijuana	91.0	835	81.3	820	63.2	57	74.5	585	82.7	2297	79.7	1090	85.3	1167
<b>Perception of Parent Disapproval</b> <i>(Parents feel it would be Wrong or Very Wrong to...)</i>	drink beer, wine, or hard liquor regularly	Alcohol	91.3	782	78.1	785	63.6	55	65.2	574	79.1	2196	77.0	1029	80.7	1129
	smoke cigarettes	Cigarettes	96.2	786	91.6	789	78.2	55	84.6	585	91.1	2215	90.9	1040	91.3	1136
	smoke marijuana	Marijuana	97.6	782	92.0	785	83.3	54	90.2	583	93.3	2204	92.7	1039	93.9	1128
<b>Perception of Peer Disapproval</b> <i>(I think it is Wrong or Very Wrong for someone my age to...)</i>	drink beer, wine, or hard liquor regularly	Alcohol	81.3	854	52.2	851	50.8	65	41.2	602	59.8	2372	57.9	1128	61.6	1200
	smoke cigarettes	Cigarettes	87.7	851	74.9	851	63.1	65	63.0	602	76.1	2369	76.4	1124	75.6	1201
	smoke marijuana	Marijuana	90.7	851	75.9	849	63.1	65	67.4	602	78.7	2367	76.2	1124	81.2	1200
<b>Past 30-Day Use</b>	at least one use in the Past 30 Days	Alcohol	16.6	845	40.6	831	50.0	58	53.4	592	35.3	2326	36.2	1101	34.9	1183
		Cigarettes	6.4	812	14.1	811	33.3	57	20.8	587	13.5	2267	13.1	1069	13.8	1159
		Marijuana	4.9	838	14.6	821	20.0	55	23.0	582	13.3	2296	14.9	1086	11.9	1168
			Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number
<b>Average Age of Onset **</b>	average age	Alcohol	11.6	416	13.0	643	13.4	48	13.9	507	12.9	1614	12.7	777	13.1	805
		Cigarettes	11.3	220	12.1	371	13.0	42	12.9	337	12.2	970	12.2	451	12.3	498
		Marijuana	12.3	78	13.4	248	14.3	32	14.5	274	13.8	632	13.7	329	13.9	294

\*The "Number" column represents the sample size (the number of youth who answered the question). The "Percent" column represents the percentage of youth in the sample answering the question as specified.

\*\*For Average Age of Onset, "Number" represents the number of youth who reported any age of first use for the specified substance other than "Never Used."

**Table 12. Youth Perceptions of Substance Use**

Now think about all the students in your grade at school. How many of them do you think:		Communities That Care Youth Survey 2006									
		Grade 8		Grade 10		Grade 11		Grade 12		Total	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<b>a. smoke one or more cigarettes a day?</b>	None (0%)	125	14.7	23	2.7	1	1.6	13	2.2	162	6.9
	Few (1-10%)	358	42.1	145	17.1	10	15.6	63	10.5	576	24.4
	Some (11-30%)	181	21.3	227	26.8	6	9.4	155	25.9	569	24.1
	Half or less (31-50%)	98	11.5	184	21.7	11	17.2	139	23.2	432	18.3
	Half or more (51-70%)	56	6.6	168	19.8	23	35.9	146	24.4	393	16.7
	Most (71-90%)	25	2.9	90	10.6	13	20.3	74	12.4	202	8.6
	Almost All (91-100%)	7	0.8	10	1.2	0	0.0	9	1.5	26	1.1
<b>b. drank alcohol sometime in the past month?</b>	None (0%)	98	11.6	16	1.9	3	4.7	7	1.2	124	5.3
	Few (1-10%)	256	30.2	51	6.0	6	9.4	10	1.7	323	13.7
	Some (11-30%)	181	21.3	68	8.0	6	9.4	35	5.8	290	12.3
	Half or less (31-50%)	140	16.5	129	15.2	8	12.5	68	11.3	345	14.6
	Half or more (51-70%)	89	10.5	212	25.1	12	18.8	153	25.5	466	19.8
	Most (71-90%)	54	6.4	259	30.6	21	32.8	229	38.2	563	23.9
	Almost All (91-100%)	30	3.5	111	13.1	8	12.5	98	16.3	247	10.5
<b>c. used marijuana sometime in the past month?</b>	None (0%)	248	29.3	48	5.7	5	7.8	15	2.5	316	13.4
	Few (1-10%)	337	39.8	169	19.9	7	10.9	98	16.3	611	25.9
	Some (11-30%)	120	14.2	173	20.4	8	12.5	119	19.8	420	17.8
	Half or less (31-50%)	53	6.3	165	19.4	11	17.2	125	20.8	354	15.0
	Half or more (51-70%)	51	6.0	139	16.4	15	23.4	111	18.5	316	13.4
	Most (71-90%)	28	3.3	110	13.0	11	17.2	102	17.0	251	10.6
	Almost All (91-100%)	9	1.1	45	5.3	7	10.9	30	5.0	91	3.9
<b>d. used an illegal drug in the past month (not including marijuana)?</b>	None (0%)	359	42.5	111	13.1	9	14.1	44	7.3	523	22.2
	Few (1-10%)	327	38.7	372	43.8	24	37.5	272	45.3	995	42.2
	Some (11-30%)	87	10.3	177	20.8	15	23.4	143	23.8	422	17.9
	Half or less (31-50%)	25	3.0	83	9.8	9	14.1	70	11.7	187	7.9
	Half or more (51-70%)	25	3.0	51	6.0	4	6.3	36	6.0	116	4.9
	Most (71-90%)	16	1.9	43	5.1	1	1.6	28	4.7	88	3.7
	Almost All (91-100%)	6	0.7	13	1.5	2	3.1	7	1.2	28	1.2

**Table 13. Drug Free Communities Report \***

Outcomes	Definition	Substance	Communities That Care Youth Survey 2008															
			Grade 6		Grade 8		Grade 10		Grade 11		Grade 12		Total †		Male		Female	
			Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
<b>Perception of Risk</b> <i>(People are at Moderate or Great Risk of harming themselves if they...)</i>	drink 1 or two drinks nearly every day	Alcohol	74.2	881	69.2	958	66.4	922	58.3	60	69.4	726	69.6	3547	63.4	1691	75.3	1817
	smoke 1 or more packs or cigarettes per day	Cigarettes	86.2	937	88.0	1020	87.6	971	85.7	63	91.7	757	88.2	3748	86.8	1790	89.3	1913
	smoke marijuana regularly	Marijuana	90.0	891	89.1	957	77.8	924	65.6	64	73.8	729	82.9	3565	80.0	1699	85.5	1828
<b>Perception of Parent Disapproval</b> <i>(Parents feel it would be Wrong or Very Wrong to...)</i>	drink beer, wine, or hard liquor regularly	Alcohol	96.6	845	88.2	1012	77.5	970	68.0	75	69.6	766	83.0	3668	81.3	1746	84.8	1879
	smoke cigarettes	Cigarettes	98.8	854	95.0	1015	92.4	973	73.7	76	86.1	771	92.9	3689	93.1	1757	92.7	1888
	smoke marijuana	Marijuana	99.2	843	96.4	1010	92.7	972	85.5	76	90.6	766	94.6	3667	94.6	1746	94.6	1877
<b>Perception of Peer Disapproval</b> <i>(I think it is Wrong or Very Wrong for someone my age to...)</i>	drink beer, wine, or hard liquor regularly	Alcohol	97.2	998	79.7	1059	54.4	1013	38.7	75	47.4	795	70.3	3940	68.1	1888	72.6	2005
	smoke cigarettes	Cigarettes	98.7	984	87.8	1053	71.3	1008	57.7	71	64.6	789	81.1	3905	82.0	1871	80.5	1987
	smoke marijuana	Marijuana	99.4	973	90.7	1037	73.7	995	65.7	67	68.2	783	83.5	3855	82.5	1848	84.6	1962
<b>Past 30-Day Use</b>	at least one use in the Past 30 Days	Alcohol	5.7	987	18.4	1060	39.1	1006	56.6	76	50.3	786	27.6	3915	28.4	1869	26.6	1998
		Cigarettes	0.8	881	7.0	1035	17.1	985	25.0	76	21.6	779	11.6	3756	10.4	1792	12.6	1919
		Marijuana	0.3	982	4.1	1055	15.1	1000	17.6	74	22.2	783	9.9	3894	10.4	1857	9.2	1989
			Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number	Age	Number
<b>Average Age of Onset **</b>	average age	Alcohol	10.4	265	11.7	528	12.9	745	13.8	63	14.1	661	12.7	2262	12.5	1108	12.8	1121
		Cigarettes	10.3	75	11.6	251	12.5	432	13.2	46	13.4	398	12.5	1202	12.4	553	12.5	629
		Marijuana	10.4	5	12.3	86	13.6	314	14.0	36	14.5	341	13.9	782	13.7	391	14.0	379

\*The "Number" column represents the sample size (the number of youth who answered the question). The "Percent" column represents the percentage of youth in the sample answering the question as specified.

\*\*For Average Age of Onset, "Number" represents the number of youth who reported any age of first use for the specified substance other than "Never Used."

**Table 14. Youth Perceptions of Substance Use**

Now think about all the students in your grade at school. How many of them do you think:	Substance	Communities That Care Youth Survey 2008											
		Grade 6		Grade 8		Grade 10		Grade 11		Grade 12		Total	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<b>a. smoke one or more cigarettes a day?</b>	None (0%)	644	64.3	190	17.8	46	4.6	0	0.0	11	1.4	891	22.6
	Few (1-10%)	284	28.4	393	36.8	151	15.0	9	12.0	120	15.1	957	24.3
	Some (11-30%)	46	4.6	254	23.8	224	22.2	14	18.7	231	29.1	769	19.5
	Half or less (31-50%)	15	1.5	117	10.9	234	23.2	22	29.3	186	23.4	574	14.5
	Half or more (51-70%)	7	0.7	76	7.1	219	21.7	13	17.3	145	18.3	460	11.7
	Most (71-90%)	5	0.5	32	3.0	110	10.9	14	18.7	82	10.3	243	6.2
	Almost All (91-100%)	0	0.0	7	0.7	23	2.3	3	4.0	19	2.4	52	1.3
<b>b. drank alcohol sometime in the past month?</b>	None (0%)	517	51.7	155	14.5	30	3.0	0	0.0	6	0.8	708	18.0
	Few (1-10%)	342	34.2	305	28.6	63	6.3	1	1.3	21	2.6	732	18.6
	Some (11-30%)	91	9.1	253	23.7	118	11.7	7	9.3	43	5.4	512	13.0
	Half or less (31-50%)	24	2.4	162	15.2	166	16.5	7	9.3	117	14.8	476	12.1
	Half or more (51-70%)	15	1.5	113	10.6	249	24.7	25	33.3	202	25.5	604	15.3
	Most (71-90%)	9	0.9	53	5.0	285	28.3	25	33.3	298	37.6	670	17.0
	Almost All (91-100%)	2	0.2	25	2.3	96	9.5	10	13.3	106	13.4	239	6.1
<b>c. used marijuana sometime in the past month?</b>	None (0%)	878	87.9	350	32.9	69	6.8	1	1.3	21	2.6	1319	33.4
	Few (1-10%)	93	9.3	439	41.2	182	18.0	10	13.3	104	13.1	828	21.0
	Some (11-30%)	17	1.7	135	12.7	198	19.6	14	18.7	184	23.1	548	13.9
	Half or less (31-50%)	6	0.6	68	6.4	172	17.0	13	17.3	170	21.4	429	10.9
	Half or more (51-70%)	2	0.2	40	3.8	197	19.5	16	21.3	161	20.2	416	10.5
	Most (71-90%)	1	0.1	26	2.4	146	14.5	15	20.0	108	13.6	296	7.5
	Almost All (91-100%)	2	0.2	7	0.7	45	4.5	6	8.0	48	6.0	108	2.7
<b>d. used an illegal drug in the past month (not including marijuana)?</b>	None (0%)	850	84.7	507	47.6	141	14.0	4	5.3	67	8.4	1569	39.8
	Few (1-10%)	110	11.0	377	35.4	365	36.2	24	32.0	344	43.2	1220	30.9
	Some (11-30%)	31	3.1	96	9.0	198	19.7	14	18.7	182	22.9	521	13.2
	Half or less (31-50%)	7	0.7	45	4.2	128	12.7	14	18.7	115	14.4	309	7.8
	Half or more (51-70%)	3	0.3	24	2.3	94	9.3	10	13.3	46	5.8	177	4.5
	Most (71-90%)	0	0.0	9	0.8	59	5.9	6	8.0	27	3.4	101	2.6
	Almost All (91-100%)	2	0.2	7	0.7	22	2.2	3	4.0	15	1.9	49	1.2

# Contacts for Prevention

## NATIONAL RESOURCES

United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS)  
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration (SAMHSA)  
1 Choke Cherry Rd., Rm. 8-1054  
Rockville, Maryland 20857  
240-276-2000

[info@samhsa.hhs.org](mailto:info@samhsa.hhs.org)

[www.samhsa.gov](http://www.samhsa.gov)

(From this web-site, the programs and services provided by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, and Center for Mental Health Services can be accessed)

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP)

1 Choke Cherry Rd., Ste 4-1057  
Rockville, Maryland 20857  
240-276-2420

[info@samhsa.hhs.org](mailto:info@samhsa.hhs.org)

<http://prevention.samhsa.gov/>

CSAP's Centers for the Advancement of Prevention Technologies (all five CSAP Centers can be accessed through this web site)

<http://captus.samhsa.gov/home.cfm>

National Institutes of Health (NIH)  
National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)  
6001 Executive Blvd., Rm. 5213  
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-9561  
301-443-1124

[Information@lists.nida.nih.gov](mailto:Information@lists.nida.nih.gov)

<http://www.nida.nih.gov/>

## STATE RESOURCES

New York State  
Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS),  
Division of Prevention and Treatment  
[prevention@oasas.state.ny.us](mailto:prevention@oasas.state.ny.us)  
[www.oasas.state.ny.us](http://www.oasas.state.ny.us)

**This Report Was Prepared  
by Bach Harrison L.L.C.**

R. Steven Harrison, Ph.D.

R. Paris Bach-Harrison, B.F.A.

Taylor C. Bryant, B.A.

<http://www.bach-harrison.com>